



CALS TEST NETWORK

CTN Test Report

90-045

AFTB-ID-90-013



Prepared for  
Air Force Logistics Command  
Air Force CALS Test Bed (LMSC/SBC)  
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-5000

**Technical Publication  
Transfer Test Using  
GTE Government Systems  
Provided Data:  
MIL-M-28001 (SGML) and  
MIL-R-28003 (CGM)**

**Quick Short Test Report**

**October 25, 1990**

**REVISED**

DATA QUALITY INSPECTED

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

19960826 120

☐ \_\_\_\_\_ copies are being forwarded. Indicate whether Statement A, B, C, D, E, F, or X applies.

☒ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A:  
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT B:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT C:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THEIR CONTRACTORS; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS FOR THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT D:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DoD AND U.S. DoD CONTRACTORS ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT E:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO DoD COMPONENTS ONLY; (Indicate Reason and Date). OTHER REQUESTS SHALL BE REFERRED TO (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT F:  
FURTHER DISSEMINATION ONLY AS DIRECTED BY (Indicate Controlling DoD Office and Date) or HIGHER DoD AUTHORITY.

☐ DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT X:  
DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZED TO U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTERPRISES ELIGIBLE TO OBTAIN EXPORT-CONTROLLED TECHNICAL DATA IN ACCORDANCE WITH DoD DIRECTIVE 5230.25, WITHHOLDING OF UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL DATA FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, 6 Nov 1984 (Indicate date of determination). CONTROLLING DoD OFFICE IS (Indicate Controlling DoD Office).

☐ This document was previously forwarded to DTIC on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) and the AD number is \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ In accordance with provisions of DoD instructions, the document requested is not supplied because:

☐ It will be published at a later date. (Enter approximate date, if known).

☐ Other. (Give Reason)

DoD Directive 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents," 18 Mar 87, contains seven distribution statements, as described briefly above. Technical Documents must be assigned distribution statements.

*Per telecon with Shirley Stephens*  
Authorized Signature/Date *8/20/96*

*Shirley Stephens*  
Print or Type Name  
*DSA 787-3085*  
Telephone Number

**CTN Test Report**  
**90-045**

**AFTB-ID-90-13**

---

**Technical Publication Transfer**  
**Using GTE Government Systems**

**Provided Data:**

**MIL-M-28001 (SGML)**

**MIL-R-28003 (CGM)**

**Quick Short Test Report**

**25 October 1990**

---

**Prepared By**  
Air Force CALS Test Bed  
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

**AFTB Contact**  
Gary Lammers  
(513) 257-3085

**CTN Contact**  
Mel Lammers  
(513) 257-3085

**Prepared for**

25 October 1990

---

## Contents

1.	Introduction.....	1
1.1.	Background.....	1
1.2.	Purpose.....	1
2.	Test Parameters.....	2
3.	1840A Analysis.....	4
3.1.	External Packaging.....	4
3.2.	Transmission Envelope.....	4
3.2.1.	Tape Formats.....	4
3.2.2.	Declaration and Header Fields.....	4
4.	SGML Analysis.....	4
5.	CGM Analysis.....	5
6.	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	6
7.	Appendix A - Tape Tool Report Logs.....	7
7.1.	Tape Catalog.....	7
7.2.	Tape Import Log.....	8
7.3.	Tape Error Log.....	9
8.	Appendix B - Parser Logs.....	10
8.1.	Exoterica Parser Error Log.....	10
8.2.	Agfa Compugraphics Parser Log.....	10
9.	Appendix C - SGML Tags Used.....	12
10.	Appendix D - CGM Analysis.....	14
10.1.	Analysis.....	14
10.1.1.	File Size.....	14
10.1.2.	Elements used in GTE CGMs.....	14

25 October 1990

---

10.1.3.	Summary of Graphical Primitive	
	Elements used in GTE CGMs.....	15
10.1.4.	Discrepancies in CGM files.....	15
10.2.	Comments on plots of GTE metafiles.....	17
10.2.1.	Shift to left of RESTRICTED TEXT	
	strings.....	17
10.2.2.	"Blocking" of image by CELL ARRAY.....	18
10.3.	Conclusions and recommendations.....	19
10.4.	List of Figures.....	24

25 October 1990

---

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

The DOD Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS) Test Network (CTN) is conducting tests of the military standard for the Automated Interchange of Technical Information, MIL-STD-1840A, and its companion suite of military specifications. The CTN is a DOD-sponsored confederation of voluntary participants from industry and government managed by the Air Force Logistics Command.

The primary objective of the CTN is to evaluate the effectiveness of the CALS standards (Standards) for technical data interchange and to demonstrate the technical capabilities and operational suitability of those Standards. Two general categories of tests are performed to evaluate the Standards, formal and informal. Formal tests are large, comprehensive tests that follow a written test plan, require specific authorization from DOD, and may take months to prepare, execute, and report.

Informal tests are quick and short, taking only a few hours to set up and execute. They are used by the CTN technical staff to broaden the testing base by including representative samples of the many systems and applications used by CTN participants. They also allow the CTN staff to gain feedback from many industry and government interpretations of the Standards, to increase the base of participation in the CALS initiative, and to respond, in a timely manner, to the many requests for help that come from participants. Participants take part voluntarily and are benefited by receiving an evaluation of their latest implementation (interpretation) of the Standards, interacting with the CTN technical staff, gaining experience in use of the Standards, and developing increased confidence in them. The results of informal tests are reported in Quick Short Test Reports (QSTRs) that briefly summarize the standard(s) tested, the hardware and software used, the nature of the test, and the results.

### 1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the informal test reported in this QSTR was to analyze GTE Government Systems' interpretation and use of the CALS standards in transferring technical publications data including CGM files. GTE used its CALS Technical Data Interchange System to produce data in accordance with the Standards and delivered it to the CTN technical staff on a 9-track magnetic tape.

25 October 1990

---

## 2. Test Parameters

Test Plan: AFTB 90-13

Date of  
Evaluation: October 25, 1990

Evaluators: Air Force CALS Test Bed  
HQ AFLC IMSC/SNX  
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-5000

Data  
Originator: GTE Government Systems Corporation  
80 "A" Street  
Needham, MA 02194

Data  
Description: Operation and Technical Manual  
Red Telephone Switching Subsystem  
1 text file  
91 CGM files

Data  
Source System:

Text/SGML

DEC MicroVAX II system  
Author Editor  
IBM PC  
FastTag

CGM

Macintosh II  
Claris MacDraw II  
GSC Associates GraphPorter

Evaluation  
Tools Used:

1840A SUN 3/280  
CIN TAPEITool (v1.0) UNIX  
SUN 3/60  
Agfa Compugraphics CALS

25 October 1990

---

SGML Cheetah Gold 486  
Exoterica XGML  
SUN 3/60  
Agfa Compugraphics CALS

OGM  
Metacals

**Standards**

**Tested:** MIL-STD-1840A Notice 1  
MIL-M-28001  
MIL-D-28003



25 October 1990

---

### **3. 1840A Analysis**

#### **3.1 External Packaging**

The tape arrived at the Air Force Test Bed enclosed in a box IAW ASTM D 3951. The exterior of the box was marked with the required magnetic tape warning label, MIL-STD-1840A, para. 5.3.1.3.

The tape was not enclosed in a barrier bag or barrier sheet material as required by MIL-STD-1840A, para. 5.3.1.2. Enclosed in the box was a packing list showing all files that were recorded on the tape.

#### **3.2 Transmission Envelope**

The nine-track tape received by the Air Force Test Bed contained MIL-STD-1840A files. The files were named per the standard conventions.

##### **3.2.1 Tape Formats**

The 1840A Tape was run through the AFTB TAPETOOL utility version 1.1. No errors were encountered while evaluating the contents of the tape labels.

The tape was also run into the AFTB system using Agfa Compu-graphics read1840A utility. No errors were noted.

##### **3.2.2 Declaration and Header Fields**

No errors were reported with these files.

### **4. SGML Analysis**

The GTE Government systems text submission consisted of 165 pages of tagged text with seven tagged tables. GTE provided a listing of the type tag and number of occurrences in the document. This list is included in Appendix E.

25 October 1990

---

The text file from this document was tested using the Software Exoterica XGML parser. With the text file parsed using the 38794B DTD, over 500 errors were reported. Many of these errors relate to the external entity references made at the start of the document.

Parsing the file without the 38784B DTD, over 300 errors were reported. The majority of these errors relate to incorrect ROW references in tables. A shortened part of the error log is shown in Appendix B.

The file was also parsed using the SOBEMAP product in Agfa Compugraphics CAPS/CALS. The initial parsing attempt was not successful. The problem was in the added information on the DOCTYPE line of the SGML document. Once this information was removed the document parsed with errors. They relate to TABLE callouts and were the same errors as reported by XGML.

The document was then made into an Agfa CAPS document and displayed on the screen. Currently, the Agfa product does not support CGM files so these were not inserted into the screen display.

## **5. CGM Analysis**

This tape contained 91 CGM files. Agfa Compugraphics has just announced a CGM addition to their CALS software. This should be available in the near future in the AFTB for CGM analysis.

These files were checked by Bruce Garner of CTNO Technical Operations Lab ,LLNL. Appendix D is the results of the this analysis.

25 October 1990

---

## 6. Conclusions and Recommendations

In summary, the MIL-STD-1840A tape from GTE Government Systems was correct. The tape could be read properly using the CTN TAPE-TOOL Software without errors

The SGML file was read correctly and processed using the Agfa CALS/CAPS software. Many errors resulted during the parsing operation which could be traced to TABLE calls in the document when using both the SOBEMAP and XGML parser.

For conclusions and recommendation of CGM files see Appendix D of this report.

25 October 1990

---

7. **Appendix A - Tape Tool Report Logs**

7.1 **Tape Catalog**

CALS Test Network Tape Evaluation - Version 1.1

MIL-STD-1840A Tape Evaluation Catalog

Mon Oct 22 17:01:19 1990

Document File Set Directory:

/cals/tapetool2/Set018

Page: 1

File Name	File Type	Record Type	Record Length
d001	Document Declaration	D	00260
d001t001	Text	D	00260
d001c001	CGM	F	00080
d001c002	CGM	F	00080
d001c003	CGM	F	00080

<<<<<<<< Remainder of file deleted >>>>>>>>

25 October 1990

---

## 7.2 Tape Import Log

CALS Test Network Document File Set Validation - Version 1.1

MIL-STD-1840A Imported Document File Set Validation Log

Found file: d001

Renaming Document Declaration file: d001

Extracting 1840A Document Declaration header records...

Validating Document Declaration header records...

srcsys: GTE GOVERNMENT SYSTEMS CALS VAX B

srcdocid: RTSS\_OPER\_AND\_MAINTENANCE\_MANUAL\_VOL1

srcrelid: NONE

chglvl: ORIGINAL

dteisu: 19900919

dstsys: CALS TEST NETWORK HQ AFLC LMSC/SJT WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB

dstdocid: RTSS\_OPER\_AND\_MAINTENANCE\_MANUAL\_VOL1

dstrelid: NONE

dtetrn: 19900918

dlvacc: NONE

filcnt: T1,C91

ttlcls: UNCLASSIFIED

doccls: UNCLASSIFIED

doctyp: Technical Publication

docttl: NONE

Saving Document Declaration header file: d001\_hdr

Found file: d001t001

Renaming Text file: d001t001

Extracting 1840A Text header records...

Validating Text header records...

srcdocid: RTSS\_OPER\_AND\_MAINTENANCE\_MANUAL\_VOL1

dstdocid: RTSS\_OPER\_AND\_MAINTENANCE\_MANUAL\_VOL1

txtfilid: W

doccls: UNCLASSIFIED

notes: NONE

Saving Text header file: d001t001\_hdr

Saving Text data file: d001t001\_txt

Found file: d001c001

Renaming CGM file: d001c001

Extracting 1840A CGM header records...

Validating CGM header records...

25 October 1990

---

srcdocid: RTSS\_OPER\_AND\_MAINTENANCE\_MANUAL\_VOL1  
dstdocid: RTSS\_OPER\_AND\_MAINTENANCE\_MANUAL\_VOL1  
txtfilid: W  
figid: F1  
srcgph: C1  
doccls: UNCLASSIFIED  
notes: NONE

Saving CGM header file: d001c001\_hdr  
Saving CGM data file: d001c001\_cgm

<<<<< PART OF LOG REMOVED HERE >>>>>>

Checking file count...  
**No errors were found.**  
File Count verification complete.

**No errors were encountered in document d001.**

**No errors were encountered during validation.**

MIL-STD-1840A File Set Validation Complete.

### 7.3 Tape Error Log

**No errors reported.**

25 October 1990

---

## 8. Appendix B - Parser Logs

### 8.1 Exoterica Parser Error Log

C:\XGML\XGMLNORM.EXE --  
Error on line 549 in file \9013\d001t001:  
Undeclared attribute specification.  
For start tag 'TABLE': Unknown attribute is 'SCILEVEL'.  
Allowed attributes for the element 'TABLE' are: 'ID', 'INSCHLVL',  
'DELCHLVL', 'LABEL', 'TEXTTYPE', 'ITEMID', 'CONFIG', 'SKILLTRK', 'HCP'  
and 'XREF'.

C:\XGML\XGMLNORM.EXE --  
Error on line 560 in file \9013\d001t001:  
Attribute does not have a current value.  
For start tag 'ENTRY': For CURRENT NMToken attribute 'ROW'..

C:\XGML\XGMLNORM.EXE --  
Error on line 562 in file \9013\d001t001:  
Attribute does not have a current value.  
For start tag 'ENTRY': For CURRENT NMToken attribute 'ROW'..

C:\XGML\XGMLNORM.EXE --  
Error on line 569 in file \9013\d001t001:  
Attribute does not have a current value.  
For start tag 'ENTRY': For CURRENT NMToken attribute 'ROW'..

C:\XGML\XGMLNORM.EXE --  
Error on line 571 in file \9013\d001t001:  
Attribute does not have a current value.  
For start tag 'ENTRY': For CURRENT NMToken attribute 'ROW'..

<<<<<<<<< Remainder OF LOG REMOVED HERE >>>>>>>>>  
<<<<<<<<< MORE ROW ERRORS >>>>>>>>>

### 8.2 Agfa Compugraphics Parser Log

<!--\*\*\* file:nold.T.sgm line:560 pos:23292  
Missing first specification of a CURRENT default value type attribute  
(ROW)-->

<!--\*\*\* file:nold.T.sgm line:562 pos:23332  
Missing first specification of a CURRENT default value type attribute  
(ROW)-->

25 October 1990

---

<!--\*\*\* file:nold.T.sgm line:569 pos:23400  
Missing first specification of a CURRENT default value type attribute  
(ROW)-->

<!--\*\*\* file:nold.T.sgm line:571 pos:23435  
Missing first specification of a CURRENT default value type attribute  
(ROW)-->

```
559      <THEAD>
560      <ROW>
561      <ENTRY COL="1">MAJOR COMPONENT
562      </ENTRY>
563      <ENTRY COL="2">DESCRIPTION
564      </ENTRY>
565      </ROW>
566      </THEAD>
567
568      <TBODY>
569      <ROW>
570      <ENTRY COL="1">RED Switch
571      </ENTRY>
572      <ENTRY COL="2">
573      </ENTRY>
574      </ROW>
```

<<<<<<<< PART OF LOG REMOVED HERE >>>>>>>>  
<<<<<< MORE TABLE-ROW ERRORS >>>>>>>>



25 October 1990

---

9. Appendix C - SGML Tags Used

NAME	COUNT	DESCRIPTION
BODY	1	Body Matter
CHAPTER	1	Chapter
COLBDDEF	7	Column Body Definition
COLHDDEF	19	Column Heading Definition
CONTENTS	1	Generated Table of Contents
CONTRNO	1	Contract Number
DEF	161	Definition
DEFLIST	19	Definition List
DOC	1	Document Level Element
DOCNO	1	Document Number
DOCTYPE	1	Document Type
ENDEMPH	258	End Emphasis
ENTRY	382	Table Entry
FIGURE	91	Figure
FRONT	1	Front Matter
GLOSSARY	1	Glossary
GLOSSHD	19	Glossary Head
GRAPHIC	91	Graphic
IDINFO	1	Title Page
ILUSLIST	1	Generated Illustration List
LEP	1	Gen. List of Effective Pages
MFR	1	Manufacturer
MODELNO	1	Equipment Model Number
NOMEN	1	Equipment Nomenclature
NOTICE	2	Notice
PARA	11	Paragraph
PARA0	22	Primary Paragraph
PARATEXT	720	Paragraph Text
PRECAUT	5	Precaution
PRTITLE	1	Prime Title
PUBDATE	1	Publication Date
PUBNO	1	Publication Number
REAR	1	Rear Matter
ROW	131	Table Row
SAFESUM	1	Safety Summary
SECTION	3	Section
STEMPH	258	Start Emphasis
STEP1	262	Procedural Step, First Level
STEP2	19	Procedural Step. Second Level
SUBPARA1	79	Subordinate Paragraph, 1
SUBPARA2	78	Subordinate Paragraph, 2
SUBPARA3	67	Subordinate Paragraph, 3
TABDEF	9	Table Definition
TABLE	9	Table

25 October 1990

---

TABLIST	1	Generated List of Tables
TBODY	9	Table Body
TERM	161	Symbol
THEAD	8	Table Head
TITLE	350	Title
TITLEBLK	1	Title Block Matter
USER	1	User Service
WARNING	6	Warning
WARNSUM	1	Warning Summary
XREF	138	Cross Reference

25 October 1990

---

## **10. Appendix D - CGM Analysis**

The 91 metafiles were stripped of their MIL-STD-1840A headers at AFTB. They were provided to CTNO Technical Operations Lab on DOS floppy disk.

Each of the 91 GTE metafiles was analyzed with two beta version programs for analysis of CGMs. These CGM analysis programs, ValidCGM and MetaCALS, check CGMs for agreement with the requirements of MIL-D-28003 and, in the case of MetaCALS, with the requirements of ANSI/ISO 8632 also. The errors reported are discussed in this report.

All of the metafiles were interpreted and plotted with MetaView on an IBM compatible (DOS) personal computer. In addition, some of the files also were plotted with CGMView and/or GPLOT on a SUN/3 computer running under the UNIX operating system.

This is a revised report. The initial report generated considerable discussion of our interpretation of the reported testing. This lead to a better understanding of the requirements of the ANSI/ISO standard for CGM, for the CALS application profile for CGM, and lead to refined and improved operational procedures for interchange tests such as this one. The revised report is a result of this improved understanding.

### **10.1 Analysis**

#### **10.1.1 File Size**

The GTE CGMs, stripped of their 1840A headers, ranged from 960 bytes to 146,880 bytes. File sizes are listed in Table 2.

#### **10.1.2 Elements used in GTE CGMs**

The general nature of the GTE illustrations, nearly all of which are schematic diagrams of communications systems, leads to a general similarity in the metafiles and to a relative simplicity in their make-up of CGM elements. All of the files use the same set of Delimiter, Metafile Descriptor, Picture Descriptor, Attribute, Escape and External Elements. The detailed values of the parameters for each were not examined. Only one file, d004.cgm, invokes a Control Element. Only three to six types of Graphical Primitive Elements are used in any one metafile. To the extent examined, the POLYLINE element is used only for two-point lines. This differs from previously examined metafiles in which large numbers of POLYLINEs with hundreds of points are

25 October 1990

---

the primary tool for construction of complex images. The construction of the GTE files is a relatively efficient construction.

The number of different elements used and the number of occurrences of each are summarized in Table 1.

#### 10.1.3 Summary of Graphical Primitive Elements used in GTE CGMs

The GTE CGMs use from 27 to 677 Graphical Primitive Elements per file. This relatively small element count is a result of the type of image represented, generally schematic diagrams made up of regular closed shapes with connecting lines and arrows and with labels.

Eight Graphical Primitive Elements are used. They are POLYLINE, RESTRICTED TEXT, POLYGON, CELL ARRAY, RECTANGLE, ELLIPSE, ELLIPTICAL ARC, and ELLIPTICAL ARC CLOSE. The use of CELL ARRAY materially increased the size of the files in which it was used.

The number of occurrences of each Graphical Primitive Element in each GTE metafile is given in Table 2.

#### 10.1.4 Discrepancies in CGM files

Each of the 91 GTE metafiles was analyzed with a pre-release version of MetaCALS and with the "beta" version of ValidCGM. Compliance with MIL-D-28003 requires compliance with the base standard, ANSI/ISO 8632, and with the additional specifications and restrictions of MIL-D-28003. The MetaCALS analysis software checks CGMs for agreement with the requirements of either ANSI/ISO 8632 alone or with those of MIL-D-28003 additionally. ValidCGM looks primarily at agreement with the requirements of MIL-D-28003.

END METAFILE Element Missing (ISO 8632/ANSI X3.122 error)

This usage is not allowed by either ISO 8632 and MIL-D-28003.

The END METAFILE element is missing in seven metafiles, d016, d029, d056, d058, d068, d077 and d089. It must be presumed that this error would not occur in a production transfer, in which both sending and receiving systems have been well tested. In the present instance it is not known where the END METAFILE element was lost. However, as the END PICTURE element is present, it is unlikely that other data was trimmed away. Within the CTN

25 October 1990

---

project, this error occurred in non-routine procedures which added MIL-STD-1840A headers to the CGMs.

This error had no observable effect on image transfer. Comparison with the original files would be necessary to determine whether or not any data was lost, but data loss is considered unlikely.

This problem seems to be a result of either the preparation or the reading of the MIL-STD 1840A tape under "laboratory" conditions.

#### CHARACTER SET LIST Element

Two sets of files were tested for CHARACTER SET LIST contents. Following a violation report from MetaCALS on the first set and some dispute among the interested parties over interpretation of the MIL-D-28003 specifications, adjustments were made to the CGM generating software and a new set of files was submitted and tested. The new files are completely correct in the contents of the CHARACTER SET LIST.

The violation report from MetaCALS, on the original set of files, was the only MIL-D-28003 related message in the original testing (it occurred in each file). The author of the generating software interpreted the specification as requiring the 3-character strings "4/2" and "4/1" for the designation tail sequences, whereas it is now agreed that the CGM standard and MIL-D-28003 actually require the single characters in positions 4/2 and 4/1 of the code table to be used (which are respectively "B" and "A" in the ASCII character set).

The disagreement over interpretation arose due to the complex and confusing nature of this particular specification in MIL-D-28003 -- it requires careful reference to the CGM standard itself, and to an altogether different ISO standard as well (ISO 2022) in order to accurately define the notation. Research subsequent to the initial testing has indicated that this misinterpretation is frequent. In discussions among the concerned parties it was agreed that the text of MIL-D-28003 should be clarified to help prevent this misinterpretation. This recommendation will be made during the current review of Revision A of MIL-D-28003.

Regardless of the dispute over the validity of the original violation report from MetaCALS, the "violation" in the original set of files had no practical effect, i.e., it had no effect on the graphical image which would be displayed by any correct interpreter, no effect on the usability of the files in inter-

25 October 1990

---

change, and would not have been valid cause for rejection of the files as deliverables.

The CGM generating software has been modified subsequent to the first test and ensuing discussion. The CHARACTER SET LIST elements generated by this CGM generator are now error free and are completely in agreement with the agreed interpretation of the MIL-D-28003 specification.

## **10.2 Comments on plots of GTE metafiles**

Comparison of plots of the received metafiles with plots produced by the originating system showed several visual discrepancies, as follows:

Shift to the left of text strings unless interpreter option selected. "Blocking" of some parts of image represented by CELL ARRAY.

The "blocking" is sufficiently serious that pictures would require minor editing of the received images prior to publication.

### **10.2.1 Shift to left of RESTRICTED TEXT strings**

It is well known in the graphics standards community that placement of a text string within the bounding rectangle of the RESTRICTED TEXT element is not well defined. This uncertainty affects both the CGM generator and the CGM interpreter. There is uncertainty both in the creation of the bounding rectangle by the generator and in the placement of text in the bounding rectangle by the interpreter. In this instance the generating software, GraphPorter, is known to define a "box" that just fits the displayed text. However, the current version of the CGM standard does not require a matching fit of the text string into the defined "box". This "fuzzyness" in the standard is not a problem when the interpreter is written to conform to common practice. One interpreter used in this study, MetaView, permits operator selection of either of two modes of placement of text in the bounding rectangle of the RESTRICTED TEXT element. One of these modes gives a satisfactory interpretation of the text strings as intended by the originating system.

All text in the GTE metafiles is represented by the RESTRICTED TEXT element. Figure 1 shows the originating system's plot of the image of file, d004.cgm, and Figures 2 and 3 show the MetaView plots with the two available modes for RESTRICTED TEXT.

25 October 1990

---

Amendment 3 to ISO 8632 (CGM) provides means for exactly defining the placement of text within the bounding rectangle of the RESTRICTED TEXT element. A draft of MIL-D-28003A, currently being reviewed by CALS, also will incorporate this more exact definition.

#### 10.2.2 "Blocking" of image by CELL ARRAY

There are several instances in interpreting the 91 metafiles from GTE where portions of the image which appear in the original plots do not appear in the plots obtained from the receiving CGM interpreters. This occurs several times in the previously referenced file, d004.cgm, as shown in Figure 3. Again, the clear text conversion of the files permits not only examination of the files for reasons for this behavior, but also modification of the files to illustrate the problem.

In each case the files include the CELL ARRAY element, used generally to insert a small "picture" into the otherwise schematic image. In the originating system, the black on white "pictures" are transparent in the white regions, so that the CELL ARRAYS may be overlapped without blocking the previously plotted image. The CGM standard includes a TRANSPARENCY element that applies to several graphical primitive elements, but does not apply to the CELL ARRAY element. Consequently the CGM standard requires that a CELL ARRAY element block the previously laid down image.

Figure 4 illustrates the blocking of the previously laid down image by subsequently plotted CELL ARRAYS.

A recommendation for application of transparency to CELL ARRAY background color has been prepared. This change would apply both to the ANSI/ISO standard and to MIL-D-28003A. In the meantime, members of the ISO committee responsible for CGM have been asked to consider a "fix" to this problem and an appropriate change to the standard already is under consideration.

For the present, the user of graphics programs which permit CELL ARRAYS with transparent background should keep in mind that appearance may differ when the image file is translated to CGM.

25 October 1990

---

### 10.3 Conclusions and recommendations

The transfer of GTE illustrations in the form of Computer Graphics Metafiles was generally successful with the interpreters available at the receiving system.

The GTE metafiles made good use of the more complex graphical primitive elements such as rectangle and ellipse. Polylines were used only for simple lines between two points.

Analysis of the CGMs with the MetaCALS and ValidCGM programs for evaluation against the requirements of ISO 8632 and MIL-D-28003 revealed one deviation in a required character string for the CGM element, CHARACTER SET LIST. There is disagreement among CGM "experts" as to the exact requirement of MIL-D-28003 in this matter. Several files were found to be missing the required END METAFILE element. Neither discrepancy prevented correct interpretation of the transferred CGMs.

The use of the RESTRICTED TEXT element for all text provided an example of the problems that may occur due to the present imprecise definition of this CGM element in the ISO standard for Computer Graphics Metafile. At present, it is necessary to determine whether generating and receiving systems provide compatible treatment of the RESTRICTED TEXT element.

Blocking of some parts of several images was caused by the improper use of the CELL ARRAY element. CGM does not permit that background color in a CELL ARRAY be transparent, so that a CELL ARRAY interpreted after other elements may block out the previously laid down image. It is recommended that the CGM standards, ANSI/ISO 8632 and MIL-D-28003, be modified to permit transparency for CELL ARRAYS as practiced in many drawing programs.



25 October 1990

---

Table 1. Distribution of CGM elements.

The files included the following distribution of elements:

Element Class		Number of different elements	Number of occurrences
Delimiter Elements	(Class 0)	5	5
Metafile Descriptor Elements	(Class 1)	14	14
Picture Descriptor Elements	(Class 2)	7	7
Control Elements	(Class 3)	0-1	0- 15
Graphical Primitive Elements	(Class 4)	3-6	27-677
Attribute Elements	(Class 5)	14	11-406
Escape Elements	(Class 6)	0	0
External Elements	(Class 7)	0	0

Only one file, d004.cgm, had a Control Element. File size, the distribution of Graphical Primitive Elements and total number of elements for each file are given in Table 2.

25 October 1990

Table 2. Occurrence of Graphical Primitive Elements in GTE OGMs  
with File Size and Total Number of Elements

		Number of each element for each file							
File Number	File Size, Bytes	Element --> & Element Number							
		POLYLINE (4-1)	RESTRICTED TEXT (4-5)	POLYGON (4-7)	CELL ARRAY (4-9)	RECTANGLE (4-11)	ELLIPSE (4-17)	ELLIPTICAL ARC (4-18)	ELLIPTICAL ARC CLOSE (4-19)
									Total Elements in Metafile
d001.ogm	5840	110	43			149	12	12	338
d002.ogm	7440	170	46			181	25	12	446
d003.ogm	10640	256	84			284	25	12	673
d004.ogm	27840	398	110	64	9	84	12		677
d005.ogm	5120	93	45	30		44		24	260
d006.ogm	5120	92	45	30		44		24	259
d007.ogm	5040	91	45	29		44		24	257
d008.ogm	5120	96	45	30		44		24	263
d009.ogm	5040	95	46	27		44		24	260
d010.ogm	5120	96	45	29		44		24	262
d011.ogm	5040	94	45	30		44		24	261
d012.ogm	5040	93	45	28		44		24	258
d013.ogm	5040	96	45	29		44		24	262
d014.ogm	4880	89	45	23		44		24	249
d015.ogm	3360	101	59	1		36			197
d016.ogm	3520	76	79	1		34			190
d017.ogm	5840	223	70	37		38			368
d018.ogm	6720	266	74	47		38	2	4	431
d019.ogm	2720	121	24			32			177
d020.ogm	1280	13	15	12		8			48
d021.ogm	3120	42	49	30		18		12	151
d022.ogm	1120	12	13	9		6			40
d023.ogm	1920	93	15	8		3			119
d024.ogm	2000	93	15	8		3			119
d025.ogm	1920	93	15	8		3			119
d026.ogm	2800	29	77	1		35			142
d027.ogm	4720	114	103	12		34	4		267
d028.ogm	1760	30	33	10		9			82
d029.ogm	3520	160	30	22		22			234
d030.ogm	3680	141	54	21		16			232
d031.ogm	3680	141	54	21		16			232
d032.ogm	3680	141	54	21		16			232

25 October 1990

---

d033.cgm	3680	141	54	21		16		232
d034.cgm	3680	141	54	21		16		232
d035.cgm	5360	197	71	29		14	16	327
d036.cgm	4800	216	69	8		22	10	325
d037.cgm	5840	105	118	60		10		293
d038.cgm	1120	17	18	1		6		42
d039.cgm	25625	109	44	17	3	9	6	188
d040.cgm	3520	153	41	20		9	5	228
d041.cgm	1760	60	19	7		6		92
d042.cgm	2480	91	28	14		10		143
d043.cgm	7520	131	120	121		15	6 8	401
d044.cgm	2800	42	39	37		3	8	129
d045.cgm	3200	97	55	20		8	4	184
d046.cgm	3680	106	59	22		10	6 8	211
d047.cgm	4880	79	77	72		17		245
d048.cgm	2000	31	31	23		5		90
d049.cgm	4880	79	77	72		17		245
d050.cgm	2560	27	51	21		7	4	110
d051.cgm	1920	52	25	8		3	8	96
d052.cgm	1760	26	28	10		6	4	74
d053.cgm	1680	28	22	8		6	8	72
d054.cgm	1680	28	23	8		6	8	73
d055.cgm	1760	28	23	10		6	8	75
d056.cgm	2000	80	26	8			4	118
d057.cgm	6080	124	107	44		28	36	339
d058.cgm	5040	148	103	41		10	2	304
d059.cgm	119120	176	89	16	10	35	20	346
d060.cgm	5520	59	119	44		32	14 8	276
d061.cgm	5280	183	84	33		8	2 12	322
d062.cgm	2560	94	25	12		4	12	147
d063.cgm	1520	39	22			16		77
d064.cgm	5360	156	72	31		16	28	303
d065.cgm	2480	86	34	15		8		143
d066.cgm	2160	27	27	18			12 12	96
d067.cgm	3200	69	36	37		18		160
d068.cgm	2000	77	21	7		8		113
d069.cgm	2320	30	30	21		23	4	108
d070.cgm	2400	22	32	21		33		108
d071.cgm	2240	49	28	23		10	2	112
d072.cgm	2320	47	32	27		4		110
d073.cgm	2000	58	41			8	8	115
d074.cgm	2720	44	50	26		17		137
d075.cgm	1920	52	32			20		104
d076.cgm	5120	87	90	45		18	20	260
d077.cgm	6080	112	83	69		34	16	314
d078.cgm	4480	76	78	44		26	12	236
d079.cgm	1440	23	20	14		6		63
d080.cgm	146880	23	50	1	5	41	14	134
d081.cgm	2240	51	25	16		10	6	108

---

25 October 1990

---

d082.cgm	1760	30	25			12		5	5	77
d083.cgm	1360	18	21			12	4	2		57
d084.cgm	8800	106	41	1	1	28	18	2		197
d085.cgm	1600	12	35			14				61
d086.cgm	2000	35	27	16		6		8		92
d087.cgm	1760	59	17	6		8				90
d088.cgm	1440	33	8	12		10				63
d089.cgm	960	3	10	6		8				27
d090.cgm	4720	127	59	37		45				268
d091.cgm	2480	18	60	17		14				109
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Minimum	960	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Maximum	146880	398	120	121	10	284	25	36	24	677

MetaCALS (Beta Version 2.0) reported that all files have an error in the required string for CHARACTER SET LIST. This was due to different interpretation of MIL-D-28003 and the CGM standard by the authors of the testing tool and the CGM generating software, and should not be reported as an error. MetaCALS correctly reported that seven files were missing the END METAFILE elements.

Table 3. Reported errors in metafiles

Message	Number of files in which found
CGM errors -	
END METAFILE missing	7
MIL-D-28003 errors -	
CHARACTER SET LIST invalid; must contain exactly the two list entries: (0,"4/2") and (1,"4/1").	91

25 October 1990

---

#### 10.4 List of Figures

- Figure 1. Originating system's plot of metafile, d004.cgm. This is the reference image for examining the effects of RESTRICTED TEXT and CELL ARRAY elements.
- Figure 2. MetaView plot of metafile, d004.cgm, without "-r" option. Text, represented by the RESTRICTED TEXT element, is shifted to the left.
- Figure 3. MetaView plot of metafile, d004.cgm, with "-r" option. Text, represented by the RESTRICTED TEXT element, is centered and is a satisfactory, though less than exact, match to the original text.
- Figure 4. Demonstration of the image blocking of images by CELL ARRAYS. The partial plots on the left, with image blocking, are from the CGM with CELL ARRAYS; those on the right, without image blocking, are from the edited clear text CGM with CELL ARRAYS removed.




Figure 1. Originating system's plot of metafile, d004.cgm. This is the reference image for examining the effects of RESTRICTED TEXT and CELL ARRAY elements.




Figure 2. MetaView plot of metafile, d004.cgm, without "-r" option. Text, represented by the RESTRICTED TEXT element, is shifted to the left.






Figure 3. MetaView plot of metafile, d004.cgm, with "-r" option. Text, represented by the RESTRICTED TEXT element, is centered and is a satisfactory, though less than exact, match to the original text.







With CELL ARRAYs



Without CELL ARRAYS.



With CELL ARRAYs



Without CELL ARRAYS.

Figure 4. Demonstration of the image blocking of images by CELL ARRAYS. The partial plots on the left, with image blocking, are from the CGM with CELL ARRAYS; those on the right, without image blocking, are from the edited clear text CGM with CELL ARRAYS removed.